

Arbitrary Justice and State Terrorism by the Bavarian Legislative, Executive and Judiciary

against Dr. Arnd Rüter
for making public the crimes committed by employees
of the Bavarian Legislative, Executive and Judiciary
as part of state-organised fraud
(overview, status April 18th 2024)

Articles 83 ff. of the **Constitution** provide for three different types of competences for the enforcement of the laws of the Federal Republic of Germany:

- Enforcement by the different federal states as their own affairs (**Art. 84 Const.**)
- Enforcement by the different federal states on behalf of the Federal Republic (**Art. 85 Const.**)
- Enforcement by the Federal Republic itself (**Art. 86 Const.**)

In both cases of enforcement by the federal states, the establishment of the necessary authorities is their responsibility. According to **Article 83 Const.**, the federal state's own enforcement of the laws of the Federal Republic (**Article 84 Const.**) is the norm, while the other two forms only apply if the **Constitution** expressly provides for or permits this. This basic rule means that the government of the Federal Republic does not enforce the most laws of the Federal Republic itself, but this is done by federal state authorities [...]. (<https://www.juracademy.de/staatsorganisationsrecht/verwaltung.html>)


(translation by the Federal Ministry of Justice https://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/englisch_gg/)

Art 84 Constitution [Länder administration – Federal oversight]

- (1) *Where the Länder execute federal laws in their own right, they shall provide for the establishment of the requisite authorities and regulate their administrative procedures. [...]*
- (2) *The Federal Government, with the consent of the Bundesrat, may issue general administrative provisions.*
- (3) *The Federal Government shall exercise oversight to ensure that the Länder execute federal laws in accordance with the law. For this purpose the Federal Government may send commissioners to the highest Land authorities and, with their consent or, where such consent is refused, with the consent of the Bundesrat, also to subordinate authorities.*
- (4) *Should any deficiencies that the Federal Government has identified in the execution of federal laws in the Länder not be corrected, the Bundesrat, on application of the Federal Government or of the Land concerned, shall decide whether that Land has violated the law. The decision of the Bundesrat may be challenged in the Federal Constitutional Court.*
- (5) *With a view to the execution of federal laws, the Federal Government may be authorised by a federal law requiring the consent of the Bundesrat to issue instructions in particular cases. They shall be addressed to the highest Land authorities unless the Federal Government considers the matter urgent.*

As at Federal Republic level, the authorities of the federal states correspond to the three pillars of the Democratic Basic Order: **Legislative**, **Executive** and **Judicial**. The legislature in the Free State of Bavaria is the **Bavarian State Parliament** and the executive is the **Bavarian State Government**.

The structure of the courts in the judiciary is shown below (according to https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gerichtsorganisation_in_Deutschland):



The appeal instance is represented once by one court at federal republic level; of interest here are the **Federal Republic Social Court** (BSG Kassel) and the **Federal Republic Court of Justice** (BGH Karlsruhe). As underlying instances the appeal level is represented by state-specific courts; accordingly, the **Bavarian State Social Court** of social jurisdiction and the **Bavarian Higher Regional Courts** of ordinary jurisdiction are the corresponding supreme Bavarian courts: Due to historical development, the ordinary jurisdiction in Bavaria is divided into three regions: the **Higher Regional Court of Munich**, the **Higher Regional Court of Nuremberg** and the **Higher Regional Court of Bamberg**. To each of these higher regional courts a **General Public Prosecutor Office** is connected to, which in all criminal proceedings generally represents the accuser's side and the state's monopoly on the use of force in criminal jurisdiction. The **Bavarian State Judicial Treasury** is also assigned to the **Higher Regional Court of Bamberg**.

In the **state-organized fraud based on *Judicial perversion of justice* (Sec. 339 German Criminal Code) and breach of the *Constitution* with mafia structures**, since 2004 This fraud to the approximately 6.3 million German citizen consists of the unlawful allegation that savings proceeds from private capital life insurance concluded via the employer are to be equated with pension payments/company pensions, which the statutory health insurance companies are allowed to skim off after the end of the insurance period. The one-off payment is then "spread out" over 10 years and has to be paid into the statutory health insurance companies supported on state coercion (***Coercion, Extortion***, possibly ***Theft***). So the 6.3 million citizens are being cheated out of around 20% of their savings; the fraudulent loot now exceeds 35 billion Euros nationwide. The pseudo-arguments to pervert the law were jointly developed by the then **Health Minister Ulla Schmidt** in the Cabinet I of the red-green **Chancellor Gerhard Schröder**, the **lobbyists of the statutory health insurance companies** and the **judges of the Federal Social Court** in the years 2002 to 2003. The idea for the "project" of filling the social security funds, which were being rapidly and exponentially emptied by incompetent politicians, came from the then **SPD General Secretary** (2002 – 2004), **Olaf Scholz**.

The abuse of the judiciary, initially in particular of the **social courts**, and from 2008 (7th April 2008 1 BvR 1924/07, ff.) necessarily also of the **Federal Constitutional Court**, was an essential building block for the establishment and enforcement of state-organized fraud. Of course, such mass fraud can only be achieved if the positions of judges (and later those of public prosecutors) at all levels of the hierarchy are filled with "functioning" people. This coincides with the efforts of the **Parties Oligarchs**, which have already been underway since the 1960s at the latest, to gradually eliminate **the independence of the judiciary guaranteed by the *Constitution*** and to misuse the judiciary as a tool to consolidate and continually expand the power of the established political parties.

Viewed in this light, corresponding laws in the federal states merely served to legally fix a situation that had long since existed anyway. On 22 February 2018, all 101 CSU members of the **Bavarian State Parliament** passed the unconstitutional **Bavarian Judges and Public Prosecutors Act (*BayRiStAG*)** in the **Free State of Bavaria:**


[IG_K-PP_2xx]

This has eliminated the **Separation of Powers** between the Executive and the Judiciary guaranteed in the ***Constitution***. From today's Bavarian State Government, 11 of the 13 CSU government members were "legislators" at the time, the ***BayRiStAG*** was and is a **self-empowerment of the CSU Party Oligarchs** ①. The selection of "suitable" judges and prosecutors is not limited to the highest hierarchical level, as the change in 03/2024 of the head of the Munich II Public Prosecutor's Office shows ②.

The highest officials in Bavaria responsible for today's Arbitrary Justice System (***High Treason against the Federal Republic of Germany § 81 StGB***) sit in the **Bavarian State Parliament (all names [IG_S15 2.01])** and in the **Bavarian State Government (all names [IG_S15 2.02])**. By taking advantage of the fact that all public prosecutors (as political officials of the Executive) are subject to the instructions of the Minister of Justice, **the legal remedy of filing a criminal complaint has been eliminated in Bavaria**. In **criminal law, the Bavarian State Government alone decides what is legal, or who "must be punished in the name of the state" and "who can break the law as he pleases in the name of the state"**. This serves to maintain and expand the power of the governing party (in Bavaria the CSU).

In Bavaria, only the linguistic regulation in the ***BayRiStAG*** law is characterized by the country's usual big-mouthed openness ("mia san mia"). In other federal states, one must search intensively through the relevant laws (e.g. ***NRiG, LRiStAG***) in order to find out their regulatory content is comparable.

⑨ Since November 2023, I have been informing **all members of the Bavarian State Parliament** and **all members of the Bavarian State Government** about the findings on the conditions in the Bavarian Legislative, Executive and Judiciary and about the ultimate responsibility that these members have in the Free State of Bavaria for the associated elimination of the rule of law and democracy.



In addition to helpless attempts by "ministerials" to explain with many lies that the world is completely different from what I have portrayed, there was only one reaction: the **LtdOStA HajoTacke** was retired on March 7, 2024 by the **Bavarian Minister of Justice Georg Eisenreich**, not without communicating the minister's farewell words to the press: „*With your great commitment, your expertise and your decisiveness, you have had a significant impact on the Munich II Public Prosecutor's Office. You were a highly committed agency leader and always a role model for others [...]*“. In [\[IG_S15 2.1.3\]](#) you can read what is meant.

As a front-line participant in 2003, **Horst Seehofer** has detailed knowledge of the **unconstitutional actions** to introduce and establish the **state-organised fraud**. This resulted in the understandable fear that the stolen money collected in Bavaria since 2004 would have to be returned under constitutional conditions. This prompted the **Bavarian Prime Minister Horst Seehofer** [\[IG_S15 2.01, 2.04\]](#) and his **Minister of Justice Winfried Bausback** [\[IG_S15 2.01, 2.04\]](#) to subordinate the **Bamberg State Judicial Treasury** (no longer to the Finance Minister, but) to the Ministry of Justice right at the beginning of his second term in office, in breach of both Federal Republic and Bavarian State law. This means the completion of the "legal options" of the Bavarian Executive, which are also used today by the **Bavarian Prime Minister Markus Söder** [\[IG_S15 2.01, 2.04\]](#) and his **Justice Minister Georg Eisenreich** [\[IG_S15 2.01, 2.04\]](#). They can take **arbitrary measures against disobedient citizens** (e.g. compulsory collection of property penalties, imposition of prison sentences) or their "own" **public prosecutors** can collect fines **without legal court decisions** via the judiciary's IT systems; the "right of the strongest" from one source, all under the same cloak of **Judicial perversion of justice** and breach of the **Constitution**. This, too, is above all an elimination of the constitutionally guaranteed **Separation of Powers** between the Executive and the Judiciary.

The way the **Bavarian social courts** deal with the **state-organized fraud on the basis of Judicial perversion of justice and breach of the Constitution** ultimately provided two triggers for the **arbitrary state justice and state terrorism** against me personally.

The **first trigger** came from the Munich Social Court.

[\[IG_K-SG_23xxx\]](#)

In court proceedings 3 and 4 at the **Munich Social Court** between 08/2019 and 06/2022 against the unlawful billing contributions for savings proceeds from private capital life insurances, the **judge of the Munich Social Court Wagner-Kürn** [\[IG_S15 1.4.3\]](#) unlawfully prevented oral hearings from taking place, but the judge was only able to end the proceedings with "judgments against the plaintiff" by summoning up all her criminal energy (**188 crimes** *Judicial perversion of justice, Aiding in Fraud in especially serious cases, Coercion, Extortion and Fraudulent exercise of public office*) and *High treason against Federation*) [\[IG_K-SG_23xxx\]](#). The judge accepted the written evidence of her crimes in accordance with the rule of law, as she could not think of any contradictions, corrections, additions, etc. But she has initiated a different form of reaction. On July 27, 2022, the **President of the Social Court Munich, Dr. Edith Mente** [\[IG_S15 2.1.1\]](#), asked the senior public prosecutor and **head of the Munich II public prosecutor's office, LtdOStA Hajo Tacke**, one "criminal proceedings of Dr. Rüter" for insult. On July 27, 2022, the President of the Social Court Munich, Dr. Edith Mente [\[IG_S15 2.1.1\]](#), asked the senior public prosecutor and head of the Munich II public prosecutor's office, LtdOStA Hajo Tacke, one "[criminal proceedings](#) of Dr. Rüter" [for insult](#). The descriptions of the insults she felt on the part of Judge Wagner-Kürn are fragments of sentences and were later revised by the Munich II public prosecutor's office to make them more suitable for arbitrary justice. The descriptions of the insults she felt on the part of Judge Wagner-Kürn are fragments of sentences and were later revised by the Munich II public prosecutor's office to make them more suitable for the requirements of arbitrary justice. The "insults" were pinned down, of all things, in the evidence documents concerning the criminal offenses committed by Judge Wagner-Kürn; the first and most important "insult" is the statement „... *The undermining of the rule of law by state judges is no longer a joke; last time we ended up in the Nazi dictatorship.*“ **4**.

After that, court proceedings 5 will take place at the Munich Social Court, which Judge Wagner-Kürn is only able to conclude with a "judgment against the plaintiff" "by resorting to the crudest fantasies of perverting the course of justice" (**311 crimes** (*Judicial perversion of justice, Aiding in Fraud in especially serious cases, Coercion, Extortion and Fraudulent exercise of public office*) and *High treason against Federation*).

In proceedings 3, 4 and 5 I have lodged an appeal with the Bavarian State Social Court **3**.

The acting without authorization (Sec 132 German Criminal Code) **secretary Birgitta Lang** [\[IG_S15 2.1.2\]](#) of the **Appeals Committee of the AOK Munich directorate** also felt insulted - obviously in close coordination with Judge Wagner-Kürn - because in the pre-trial disputes with the **statutory health and nursing care insurance company AOK Bayern** regarding their fraud regarding their unlawful calculation

of contribution for the savings proceeds from private capital life insurance, I also made public the criminal offenses committed personally by Birgitta Lang. This so-called [criminal complaint for "insult"](#) also ended up with the Munich II public prosecutor's office ⑤.

The proceedings against me took on a new aspect when the secretary Birgitta Lang became angry because the district judges of the Ebersberg District Court had still not finished my "punishment" and because she had to realize that not only had the Internet publication of her previous crimes not disappeared, but her new crimes connected with personal revenge had also been made public. Her **lawyer Dr. Lauser** [IG_S15 2.1.11] therefore filed an application with the Ebersberg District Court for an interim injunction to pay 1/4 million Euros for [violation of the "personal rights"](#) if the publication of the crimes they had committed were not deleted and justified this with the new **General Data Protection Regulation**, which, however, explicitly excludes the enforcement of deletion in the case of **use for law enforcement purposes** in **GDPR Art. 17 Para. 3 No. e** ⑩.

Because all the judges of the Ebersberg District Court have paralyzed themselves through their criminal offenses and the resulting fear of bias, Dr. Lauser withdrew the application to the Ebersberg District Court and resubmitted it to the Civil Division of the Munich II Regional Court. ⑫.

⑥ > From 07/2022, I was summoned several times by the **Criminal Investigation Department (KPI) Erding** for questioning as a suspect. However, they refused to give me any concrete charges (initial suspicion) for the alleged insult for the purposes of the investigation that had been initiated. Since no investigations were carried out with me and the **POK Degelmann of the KPI Erding** [IG_S15 2.1.5] ultimately had to write a report on her investigations to the public prosecutor's office, she simply lied together a few investigation results and made them available to the public prosecutor's office for the arbitrary justice system ⑦.

There were also attempts by the POK Degelmann to investigate without initial suspicion but with a different sign ("Publication of the investigation file on the Internet...").

In May 2023, the POK Degelmann even filed its own criminal complaint because it had learned that I had caught them lying in their investigations. Several months later, the Munich II public prosecutor's office remembered this and used it to compile a criminal order for **Defamation** ⑨.

④ > ⑤ > The **senior public prosecutor Hajo Tacke head** [IG_S15 2.1.3] of **the Munich II public prosecutor's office** appointed his **public prosecutor Hürter** [IG_S15 2.1.4] to "prosecute Rüter". During my activities at the KPI Erding, they consistently refused to allow me to inspect any files, which was at least understandable given the [Suppression of documents](#) that was later discovered at the Ebersberg District Court. After the Erding police station did not provide any usable investigation results, Mr Tacke and Ms Hürter issued a penalty order (-application) for 2,400 Euros using an unconstitutional **§ 407 StPO**, which was invented for perverse animal abusers and notorious "drivers who run red lights" and is intended to provide them with a silent punishment without a trial by circumventing their fundamental rights (**Articles 101 (1), 103 (1) Constitution**). This penalty order was made available electronically to the Ebersberg District Court and was signed there 1:1 in the same layout without any changes and sent to me ⑧.

.... ⑮ After the judges of the Ebersberg District Court had returned the "settled" penal order for insult in an unresolved state, the Munich II public prosecutor's office itself took the place of a criminal court and the **"legal officer [Rechtspfleger]" Popp and Manger** [IG_S15 2.1.24] confirmed for themselves that the penal order was completely legal. Always on hand is the **clerk Edmaier** [IG_S15 2.1.24], the all-purpose weapon of LtdOStA Hajo Tacke. No disregard for the law will stay undone and no function in the public prosecutor's office is safe from his "assuming takeover", as a "legal officer" he confirmed the legality of the prosecution measures, as an employee of the Executive he has submitted the invoices in the IT system of the Bavarian Judicial Treasury Bamberg (Judiciary) or asked for invoicing, sended constant reminders or "final" reminders (i.e. [Coercion](#) and [Extortion](#)), threatened with imprisonment, issued the arrest warrant for the arrest by the police to serve the substitute prison sentence and certified the legal correctness for this as a "notarizer" ⑰.

.... ⑨ > My unwillingness to finally capitulate to the **arbitrary justice** and **state terrorism** that was initiated by the LtdOStA Hajo Tacke and controlled by him for the most part gave him the idea of using the criminal complaint filed by POK Degelmann months ago in their own case and using it to fabricate a [penalty order \(-application\) for Defamation](#) in the amount of 3,600 Euros. In Judge Gellhaus of the Ebersberg District Court, he had found a wannabe upstart who signed it blindly without thinking ⑯.

Current situation (04/2024): With this penalty order, the "all-purpose weapon" Edmaier is now starting the same game, i.e. he again arranged for the invoice for the penalty payment decided by the Chief Public Prosecutor Hajo Tacke to be issued in the IT system of the Bavarian Judicial Treasury Bamberg (Judiciary), warned and threatened with a substitute prison sentence **in order to finally silence me** ⑰.

8 > **Judge Dieter Kaltbeitzler** [IG_S15 2.1.6] in the **Ebersberg District Court** signed the final penal order, which disregarded my fundamental rights (**Articles 101 (1), 103 (1) Constitution**), without even attempting to examine it. Not only this judge, but all the **judges of the Ebersberg District Court** tried one after the other to give legal effect to this unlawful penal order. They did it with such helpless legal arguments and by committing such serious crimes that in each case it was easy to prove that the crimes had been committed, I filed the relevant **criminal charges with the district court** and I therefore declared them *biased*. Thus, the following judges of the Ebersberg District Court were successively excluded from the legal processing of the penal order: **Dieter Kaltbeitzler**, **Direktor Dr. Benjamin Lenhart** [IG_S15 2.1.7], **Richterin Hörauf** [IG_S15 2.1.9], **Richterin Karn** [IG_S15 2.1.10], **Richter Gellhaus** [IG_S15 2.1.12] and **Richter Zoth** [IG_S15 2.1.13].

10 > Following the application by Lang/Lauser for an interim injunction to pay **1/4 million Euros** for **violation of the "personal rights"** if the publication of the crimes they had committed were not deleted the judges of the Ebersberg District Court readily jumped on this bandwagon, because they hoped that the **General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)** could also force the deletion of publications about their own crimes. To do this, they turned the "legal dispute" into a civil law issue, gave the legally unenforceable claim a more neutral name ("**for betraying secrets**") and claimed that I had betrayed secrets from an ongoing case – meaning the failed penal order for insult. This also failed miserably because of the criminal offenses of all the judges and their bias. The impatient Dr. Lauser demanded that the matter be forwarded to the Munich Regional Court and was advised by the District Court to withdraw the present application for an injunction and to resubmit it to the Munich Regional Court II, Civil Division, with an increased amount in dispute 12.

After the judicial enforcement of the unconstitutional penal order had gone so badly wrong, the Ebersberg District Court simply sent the attempt at arbitrary justice back to the Munich II public prosecutor's office as "settled" 13.

16 With **Judge Gellhaus** [IG_S15 2.1.12] of the Ebersberg District Court, the senior public prosecutor Hajo Tacke has found an upstart who still hasn't understood anything, who blindly signed the fabricated **penalty order for Defamation** without thinking and who seriously believes that by referring to the public prosecutor's office as the source of the accusations, he is no longer involved.

11 > While the judges of the Ebersberg District Court were busy licking their wounds, they commissioned **Judge Lenz** [IG_S15 2.1.17], **Judge Calame** [IG_S15 2.1.17] and **Judge Rotermund** [IG_S15 2.1.17] from the **Criminal Division of the Munich II Regional Court** to take an intermezzo to dismiss a non-existent "immediate complaint" from me 11.

14 It is still an attempt to force the **deletion of published evidence of crimes committed as part of state-organized fraud**. The **Judge Zebhauser** [IG_S15 2.1.19], **Judge Kuhn** [IG_S15 2.1.19], **Judge Dr. Huprich** [IG_S15 2.1.19], **Judge Weber** [IG_S15 2.1.19], **Judge Pröbstl** [IG_S15 2.1.20], **Judge Gatti-Schweikl** [IG_S15 2.1.20], **Judge Dr. Kürten** [IG_S15 2.1.21], **Judge Nakas** [IG_S15 2.1.22], **Judge Heidenreich** [IG_S15 2.1.22] under the leadership of their "lawless" **Chairman Judge Ottmann** [IG_S15 2.1.18] of the **civil division of the Munich II Regional Court** were just as hopelessly overwhelmed to justify an injunction demanding to pay **1/4 million Euro** for publication of personal data (i.e. the identification of the perpetrator Birgitta Lang). However, they had less stress because they basically no longer even try to bend the law by **Judicial perversion of justice**. In their "judiciary" they do not use any laws at all, but judge in a landlord's manner. This was also gradually acknowledged on my part with **Report of offences** and „*bias*“ for all judges.

..... 17 Because I did not allow myself to be blackmailed (**Extortion**) and did not delete the information published on the Internet, this unlawful court (civil division) made up of illegal judges who had been declared biased due to proven criminal offenses imposed a fine of 1,000 Euros to be paid.

..... 18 And then this court had the Bamberg State Judicial Treasury issue a bill for costs amounting to 424.50 Euros for this so-called procedure, in which it was far from in accordance with any law.

9 The above-mentioned processes in the Munich II Public Prosecutor's Office, in the Ebersberg District Court and in the Civil Division of the Munich II Regional Court will be accompanied by General/Senior/Public Prosecutors in the period from 05/2023 to the **Current situation (04/2024)**: of the **Public Prosecutor's Office Munich II** (**Public Prosecutor Gierke** [IG_S15 2.1.14]), of the **Public Prosecutor's Office Munich I** (**Senior Prosecutor Heidenreich** [IG_S15 2.1.15], **Public Prosecutor Bichler** [IG_S15 2.1.14], **Public Prosecutor Meindl** [IG_S15 2.1.14]) and the **Attorney General's Office in Munich** (**Senior Prosecutor Hahn-Oleownik** [IG_S15 2.1.14], **Attorney General Reinhard Röttle** [IG_S15 2.1.16], **Senior Prosecutor Läßle** [IG_S15 2.1.26]), who were and are only concerned with putting on the **theatre of an existing democracy and an existing constitutional state** for themselves and their members of the parties oligarchy (in particular the **Ministers of Justice**).

After all my ongoing criminal complaints against the above-mentioned criminals, the **standard method of all German public prosecutors** ensured the impunity of the **state-organized criminals**:

The “processing” public prosecutors do not read any files, they blindfold themselves and announce that they see nothing (especially no “sufficient factual evidence” for initial suspicion); in doing so, they are committing a **breach of the Constitution, Judicial perversion of justice** and, above all, massive **Obstructions of prosecution or punishment in public office**. And because they are used to working illegally and sloppily due to a lack of control and being bound by instructions from the Minister of Justice, they do not even try to handle all of the reported crimes (which is why including the references in this overview would have no legal benefit).

Current situation (04/2024): 20 The **Bavarian State Judicial Treasury in Bamberg** is assigned to the **Higher Regional Court Bamberg**. The persons responsible for the State Judicial Treasury, the **treasurer U. Wirth [IG_S15 2.1.25]**, the **President of the Higher Regional Court of Bamberg Dr. Angerer [IG_S15 2.1.25]** and the **Vice President of the Higher Regional Court of Bamberg Brößler [IG_S15 2.1.25]** disregard any legal obligation to check the legality of the cost invoice of EUR 424.50 from the Munich II Regional Court and the two invoices of EUR 2,400 and EUR 3,600 from the Munich II Public Prosecutor's Office. In order to serve the Munich II public prosecutor's office's purposes, the president and her deputy are also violating the constitutionally guaranteed separation of powers between the Executive and Judiciary (state security offense **High treason against Federation**).

The **second trigger** for the **arbitrary state justice and state terrorism** against me personally came from the **Bavarian State Social Court**.

[IG_K-LG_23xxx], [IG_K-PE_23xx]

3> In proceedings 3 and 4 and 5, respectively, I filed an appeal with the **Bavarian State Social Court** in 04/2022 and 08/2022. A so-called “oral hearing” took place on the 3 appeals in 10/2022. In the 3 appeal proceedings, the **presiding judge Dr. Harald Hesral [IG_S15 1.4.7, 2.2.1]**, the **judge Kunz [IG_S15 1.4.7, 2.2.1]**, the **judge Dr. Reich-Malter [IG_S15 1.4.7, 2.2.1]**, the honorary **judge Türk-Berkhan [IG_S15 1.4.7, 2.2.1]** and honorary **judge Liegl [IG_S15 1.4.7, 2.2.1]** were only able to end the proceedings with so-called “judgments against the plaintiff” by summoning up all their criminal energy. They committed 5,671 proven violations of the law, of which 902 were procedural deficiencies (SGG, ZPO), **918 crimes**, 329 constitutional violations, 39 violations of the **European Convention on Human Rights**, 3,473 **Aiding** in the crimes of other social judges [IG_K-LG_23xxx]. As a special feature, they have broken down appeal 5 into five appeals in a way that is perverted by the law, with the aim of forcing the withdrawal of the appeal through **Coercion** and **Extortion**. Since I refused to be blackmailed, they imposed a fine of 900 Euros in so-called “fault costs”.

The State Social Court had already entered the bill for the “fault costs” of 900 Euros into the system of the **Bavarian State Treasury in Landshut** in 01/2023 1.

6 The judges accepted all of the written evidence of their criminal offenses sent to them in August 2023 in accordance with the rule of law, as they could not think of any contradictions, corrections, additions, etc.

7 A **disciplinary supervision complaint** against the judges of the Bavarian State Social Court to the **President of the Bavarian State Social Court Günther Kolbe [IG_S15 2.03]** ended with his rejection of the disciplinary supervision complaint and my finding that, consequently, the **deliberate, notorious, compulsive, mass and serious (CRIME, High treason against Federation) breach of the law by the judges of the Bavarian social jurisdiction**, in other words, colloquially, the **criminal actions of the judges of the Bavarian social jurisdiction**, is **NOT** an **improper and illegal way of carrying out their official duties** and corresponds to their **incumbent official duty**.

1> Those responsible at the **Bavarian State Treasury in Landshut**, the **head of the accounting department [IG_S15 2.2.2]** and the **head of the department Alexander Götze [IG_S15 2.2.2]** did not feel obliged to comply with the law and to check the invoices issued for legality or, if it was proven that they were unlawful, to request clarification from the invoicing through a regular court. They announced that they would be taking legal action against me and secretly commissioned the Ebersberg Tax Office to seize my bank account 3.

2 As those responsible in the **Higher Regional Court of Bamberg** with the subordinate State Judicial Treasury of Bamberg, its **President of the Higher Regional Court Lothar Schmitt [IG_S15 2.2.3]** and the **Vice President of the Higher Regional Court Zwerger [IG_S15 2.2.3]** did not feel responsible for the **Coercion** and **Extortion** by the judges of the Bavarian State Social Court and the **disregard of the**

law by those responsible for the Bavarian State Treasury in Landshut. They disregarded my de facto criminal complaint.

④ At the **Ebersberg Tax Office**, the **clerk Haberl** [IG_S15 2.2.4] and the **Head of the tax office Verena Hegner** [IG_S15 2.2.4] secretly seized my current bank account at the Kreissparkasse München Starnberg Ebersberg due to "tax debt" despite the lack of a legal basis and, through deliberate delay in providing information, they ensured that I only found out about the account seizure after the account had been blocked by the bank due to seizure.

⑤ At the **Kreissparkasse München Starnberg Ebersberg (KSK MSE)**, the **Chairman of the Board Andreas Frühschütz** [IG_S15 2.2.5], the **Board Member Ulrich Sengle** [IG_S15 2.2.5] and the **Board Member Andrea-Felsner-Peifer** [IG_S15 2.2.5] could not be persuaded to reject the seizure to the tax office despite the evidence presented that the "fault costs" have no legal basis and that the seizure by the tax office is unlawful and does not involve tax debts.

⑧ After blocking my account for 1 month before unlocking, they let 3 months pass before secretly transferring the money from my bank account to the tax office. Since I informed both the responsible persons at the Ebersberg tax office and the board of directors of KSK MSE of the criminal offenses they had committed, they mistakenly believed that they were entitled to terminate the business relationship without notice. They demanded that all "deposits held in custody" have be withdrawn by a certain deadline, and they any remaining deposits would transfer to the tax office. I then gave notice of termination, without notice and with legal effect. By the time I gave notice of termination, I had "withdrawn" all of the "deposits in safekeeping"; only the amount stolen/embezzled by the board of directors remained as a negativ saldo.

(01/24) A **misses Mauerkirchner** from the **credit processing department of KSK MSE** [IG_S15 2.2.6] initially wanted to trick me into giving me loans to cover the „overdraft“.

Current situation (04/2024): Now they are trying to get the amount of 996.69 Euros back from me, which the board of directors "generously donated to the state", via a debt collection company belonging to the Kreissparkassen financial group.

from the
monopoly of violence of state authorities to punish lawbreakers
to the
monopoly of violence by the parties oligarchy
in the form of arbitrary justice and state terrorism
and **monopoly on preventing punishment for members of state authorities**

the **monopoly** on the use of force to punish criminals
who have committed crimes under the **Criminal Code (StGB)**
lies exclusively with the "state" [or rather:] **with the state authorities**

Contrary to what politicians think, the state does not only consist of state authorities, but also, and to a large extent, of its citizens.

the monopoly on violence is also subject to the separation of powers required by the Constitution

The principle of separation of powers is enshrined in **Article 20 Paragraph 2 of the Constitution**: „All state authority is derived from the people. It shall be exercised by the people through elections and other votes and through specific legislative, executive and judicial bodies.“

The three powers of the Legislative, the Executive and the Judiciary control and limit each other in accordance with the Constitution.

so far so good

Before a criminal court, as a branch of the ordinary jurisdiction (judiciary), decides whether a criminal offence reported by the victim(s) to the law enforcement authorities in a criminal complaint should be punished after legal proceedings have been conducted, the public prosecutor's offices attached to the ordinary jurisdiction (if necessary with the support of the criminal police) conduct investigations into the criminal offences.

All **public prosecutors in the Federal Republic of Germany** (except the highest, the Federal Prosecutor General) are **political officials of the Executive** of the respective federal state and **are bound by the instructions of the respective state Minister of Justice.**

If prosecutors are instructed to refuse to begin investigations into a "certain group of people" and **to justify this with the lie** then the **state authorities' monopoly on the use of force** is supplemented by a **monopoly on preventing punishment held by the state governments (state-specific Executive).**

In order to appoint candidates with sufficient moral depravity to the vacant prosecutor posts, suitable personnel must be selected. The fact that future prosecutors are usually sent to the ministries of the Executive through a lengthy preparation process ensures not only that their political suitability is closely examined, but in return even that appropriate influence is exerted on them in order to deform their character accordingly.

If the public prosecutors, on behalf of the state justice minister, refuse to begin investigations against all employees of the **Legislative, Executive and Judiciary** and all **subordinate authorities and public organizations** as long as they commit crimes in the interest of the state governments,

then we have **in addition a monopoly on preventing punishment to safeguard and expand the power of these state governments**
(e.g.: **state-organised fraud** against 6.3 million pensioners with **Coercion**
and (if necessary) **Extortion, or Theft**)

Parliamentary groups play an important role in the legislative process in the German Bundestag and in the state parliaments. There were no "parliamentary groups" in the original Basic Law, and the parties only secretly added them in the course of the emergency legislation on June 24, 1968 with the Constitution Amendment (**IVa. The Joint Committee, Article 53a** with "... in accordance with the strength ratio of the parliamentary groups"); with all the resulting **unconstitutionalities that undermine Article 38 (1) of the Constitution**, such as: the power of parliamentary group leaders as non-democratically legitimized representatives (whippers) of party members in the Bundestag and in the state parliaments; voting by party members with party discipline, anyone who does not comply is removed from the party electoral list; massive discrimination against non-parliamentary MPs...

The people do **not** elect representatives of the entire people "in free elections" (**Article 20 (3), 28 (1) Constitution**), but rather they elect representatives and subordinates of the respective parties, which they offer as "electable" on the electoral lists. If these are not primarily the representatives of their parties' interests, then they will no longer be offered as electable by their parties.

When the members of the **German Bundestag** and the members of the **state parliaments (Legislative)**, which are subject to such conditions as unconstitutional factions or unconstitutional elections, pass new laws or changes to laws, they do so primarily as willing representatives of interests or as unwilling followers of orders from their political parties. These established political parties sometimes belong to the government majority and sometimes to the opposition. However, they are interchangeable in their fundamental understanding of politics and in particular in their approach to the rule of law and democracy; they have formed a **parties oligarchy** since the 1960s. (K. Jaspers, H. Arendt 1963)

In the parties oligarchy, the **members of the German Bundestag** and the **members of the state parliaments (Legislative)** are easily persuaded, whether willing or unwilling-easy, to pass laws that correspond to the interests of the parties oligarchs.

(e.g.: *State laws according to which the "Executive" [better:] the parties oligarchs select not only the prosecutors but also the judges themselves*)

The **Legislative**, as the first of the three powers, is thus abolished; it no longer controls or limits anything in the sense of the Constitution.

In order to ensure that candidates with sufficient unmoral depravity are appointed to vacant judicial posts, appropriate personnel must be selected.

Prospective lawyers in their state training do not learn: a) that the meaning and purpose of a law in our parliamentary democracy are fundamentally fixed in the introductory law, b) that there is no "legislator" as in a dictatorship and that the laws are a democratic majority decision, c) that in our democracy, the administration of justice must be carried out exclusively according to law and justice, in accordance with Articles 20 (3) and 97 (1) of the Constitution, d) that the Federal Republic of Germany belongs to the continental European legal system and Anglo-American case law has no place here, e) that jurisprudence in a specific situation requires: the ability to identify the legal provisions in question, to read the German legal text with understanding of the text, to check the logical structure of all conditions for their regulatory content and to make a yes/no decision on their applicability.

Instead, they learn: a) the methodology adopted by the Nazis according to which they have the right and the duty to "search for **the legislator's** intentions" with half-baked beliefs and to interpret the statements of the laws, i.e. to bend the law and give the laws a different meaning, b) to act as a "legal twister" with inadequate knowledge of German and inadequate human logic, but with the illusion of speaking a special legal language, to indulge in law-bending interpretations of legal regulations that have not been read or understood, c) and to replace the inadequate thinking of one's own with "legal interpretations from textbooks" by legal "influencers", with so-called "supreme court" decisions without any legal force and other political whisperings etc. (i.e. all methods that bend the law and are unconstitutional). c) and to replace the inadequate thinking of one's own with "legal interpretations from textbooks" by legal "influencers", with so-called "supreme court" decisions without any legal force and other political whisperings etc. (i.e. all of them methods that bend the law and are unconstitutional).

It is therefore not so difficult to find candidates for the positions of judges who **disregard the Constitution** and consider the **commission of the crime of Judicial perversion of justice** to be their "daily bread." Such candidates are also prepared to commit other crimes without any problem.

If the **judges in the Federal Republic of Germany** disregard the **constitutional requirement of jurisdiction according to law and justice** and instead **bend the law and attribute to the laws an arbitrary regulatory content on the laws**, dictated by whoever, then the **monopoly on violence based on laws** becomes a **monopoly on violence based on arbitrariness**.

(e.g.: so-called SG and LSG judgments in state-organised fraud)

The **Judiciary** as the third of the three powers is thus abolished; it no longer controls or limits anything in the sense of the Constitution.

When the **prosecutors selected** by the state government [or rather:] the **parties oligarchy** are instructed by the **state ministers of justice** to accuse certain persons of fabricated crimes and to **threaten them**

with the corresponding **fines or prison sentences and to prosecute them**

and when the **judges selected** by the country's Executive [or rather:] the **parties oligarchy** disregard the constitutional requirements of the jurisprudence according to law and justice

and blindly sign the **false criminal charges of the prosecutors**

despite their associated commission of massive crimes,

then the **monopoly of violence of the state authorities** becomes a **monopoly of violence of the parties oligarchy in the form of arbitrary justice and state terrorism**

supplemented by a monopoly on preventing punishment by the parties oligarchy

for all employees of the **Legislative, Executive and Judiciary** and all **subordinate authorities** and **public organizations** who are guaranteed immunity from prosecution

if they break the criminal laws in the interests of the **parties oligarchy**

to secure and expand their power

(e.g.: arbitrary justice and state terrorism against Rüter)

*we have this situation today
so far and not so good anymore*